Conclusions and discussion. As mentioned before, the 2 aqueous compartments, whose volumes are V₁ and V₂, do not have any unambiguous anatomical correspondents, simply because they are defined only on the basis of the water accessibility for diffusion exchange. Nevertheless, in view of the rapidity with which the exchange between the external solution and the first tissular compartment (as expressed by the quasi-saturation time τ_1) occurs, one can infer that this compartment is extracellular, namely that it represents the intercellular space. This conclusion is further substantiated by the rather low free energy change ΔG_{1-0} , when D_2O passes from the external solution into this compartment. The second aqueous compartment of the nerve, into which the heavy water intake proceeds much more slowly, most probably represents the axoplasmic water. The fact that ΔG_{2-1} is even lower than ΔG_{1-0} can be tentatively accounted for by assuming that water passage through the conjunctive sheath surrounding the nerve is energetically more important than the passage through the axolemma. Anyhow, both ΔG_{1-0} and ΔG_{2-1} show that the intake of heavy water into the nerve is not accompanied by

significant energy changes, so that one can conclude that at least 70% of the whole nerve water is almost free for rapid diffusion exchange. The remainder of up to 30% of the nerve water is not revealed by the deuterium oxide replacement, and thus it appears as either 'bound' or somehow 'obstructed' water. Maybe it is more correct to call this compartment 'invisible' from the viewpoint of the present technique. The slowness of the overall process of heavy water permeation into the tissue is to be attributed mainly to the spatial compartmentalization than to differences in the state of water. These conclusions are in very good agreement not only with similar investigations⁶, but also with recent NMR measurements on the muscle 10, 11.

A practical indication arising from this study is that in the investigations of the physiological effects of deuterium on the myelinated nerve, when deuteriation is obtained by simple immersion in heavy water, one should wait for several tens of minutes until heavy water replaces light water in the deeper tissular compartments.

It is to be observed that our measurements are practically incompatible with stirring, which means that the effect of the unstirred layer at the surface of the tissue is de facto included in the results. Anyhow, this effect leads to an apparent rate of exchange which is lower than the true one, a fact strengthening further the above conclusions.

- 10 P. S. Belton, R. R. Jackson and K. J. Packer, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 286, 16 (1972).
- 11 M. M. Civan and M. Shporer, Biophys. J. 15, 299 (1975).

Liver regeneration: cultural characteristics of remnant liver cells following a second partial hepatectomy

Y. SERA, Y. SAKAMOTO, Y. KOGA and D. M. HAYS

The Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, University of Southern California School of Medicine, 4650 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90027 (USA), 5 July 1976

Summary. When a 38% hepatic resection (rat) is followed in 24-72 h by a 30% hepatic resection (in the same animal), in vitro cell survival is observed in liver remnant tissue removed at any interval following the second resection.

Cells from the liver remnants of weanling or immature rats survive in culture when removed at any interval following a large hepatic resection 1, 2. In mature rats, however, employing the same primary culture techniques, only cells removed from the hepatic remnant at intervals greater than 46 h following hepatic resection survive in culture. This report describes the influence of a prior hepatic resection on the growth capacity of remnant liver cells (mature rat) removed during this early (< 46 h)

Sixty 200 g male Wistar rats were subjected to a medial lobectomy (38% hepatic resection). At 3 subsequent intervals, i.e., 8 h, 24 h and 72 h, 20 of the same animals were subjected to a second (left) lebectomy. This would constitute a 30% hepatic resection in the intact rat, but more than that in this situation. 40 (control) rats had single 68% or 30% resections. At intervals of 12, 24, 36, and 48 h following the second hepatic resection, animals in the experimental groups were sacrificed and liver tissue removed for culture. At the same intervals following the single (control) resections, tissue was also obtained. Tissue was taken from the caudate lobe, an area not contiguous to prior resection(s).

Liver tissue from 5 rats in each of the 12 experimental and 8 control groups was combined, minced, the cells dispersed with trypsin solution (0.25%), suspended in Eagle's minimal essential medium (MEM) and counted. Each cell pool so formed was inoculated into 20 Petri dishes $(1.8 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/dish})$, containing MEM with 20% fetal calf serum (FCS) additive. Cultures were maintained at 37 °C in an incubator flushed with CO2 and refed with the same media. After 2 days in culture, viable (attached) cell populations are reduced to approx. 5% of the inoculum. After 16 days in culture, cells attached to the plate were removed with trypsin solution, resuspended in MEM and counted with a hemacytometer.

As noted in previous studies 1,2 there was no cell survival in tissue removed 8, 24, and 36 h following a single hepatic resection, either 30% or 68% (table, a and b). A preliminary resection (38%) however, performed 24, 40 or 72 h prior to a 30% resection, resulted in survival

¹ D. M. Hays, Y. Matsushima, I. Tedo and A. Tsunoda, Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med. 138, 658 (1971). ² D. M. Hays, Y. Hirai, S. Yokoyama and K. Nakajima, J. Surg.

Res. 11, 590 (1971).

Liver regeneration: effect of spaced resections

Interval after single resection at which cells are removed for culture			
8 h	24 h	36 h	48 h
NG	NG	NG	546° ± 24
NG	NG	NG	1.68 ± 32
Interval after second resection at which cells are removed for culture			
8 h	24 h	36 h	48 h
363° ± 37	243 ± 23	396 ± 30	413 ± 33
67 + 14	153 + 27	212 + 32	214 + 40
	NG NG Interval after se 8 h	NG NG NG NG Interval after second resection at whice 8 h 24 h	8 h 24 h 36 h NG NG NG NG NG NG NG Interval after second resection at which cells are removed 8 h 24 h 36 h

^aNumber of cells/plate \times 10³ (mean \pm S.D.). NG = no growth. – Cell pools were formed from trypsin-dispersed regenerating liver tissue removed from 5 rats. The inoculum in each plate was 1.8 \times 10⁶ of these cells. The figure for each time interval (above) represents the mean \pm S. D. in 20 plates with the same inoculum cultured for 16 days (see text.).

of cells removed at all 3 intervals, 8, 24, and 36 h following the second resection. As in previous studies ^{1, 2}, tissue removed 48 h following any size of resection (or resections) grew in vitro and maximum growth was always obtained in tissue removed at this interval post resection (table). Following the 40 h and 72 h (2nd) resections, cell survival was increased with each lengthening of interval post resection, i.e., 8 h post resection to 48 h post resection.

The relative failure of cells from liver to form a monolayer when they are removed during the initial interval (< 46 h) following 68% hepatic resection, employing this culture technique is of interest, as it is during this precise period that all of the principal indices of the in vivo replication of cells in the remnant liver during the regenerative response reach a peak and decline³⁻⁷. Cells from regenerating liver tissue cultured in soft agar also have minimal colony forming capacity when they are removed during this initial period following hepatic resection, and actively form colonies when they are removed later in the regenerative response^{8,9}. This 'growth inhibition' interval appears to be longer in the mouse than in rats^{8,9}, as is the general regenerative response.

It might be assumed that this relative growth inhibition was related to the effect of the trauma of the liver excision per se on remnant cells, possibly producing an increased susceptibility to the action of trypsin, or in some other way directly inhibiting capacity for in vitro growth. From these studies however, it appears that this is not the case. The *initial* hepatic resection appeared to be the governing factor in establishing the growth potential of the regenerating liver cells in vitro. A second resection was not followed by a period of growth inhibition, a phenomenon always seen following a single resection.

- ³ N. L. R. Bucher, J. F. DiTroia and M. N. Swaffield, Fed. Proc. 20, 286 (1961).
- ⁴ J. W. Grisham, Cancer Res. 22, 842 (1962).
- ⁵ K. TSUKADA and I. J. LIEBERMAN, Biol. Chem. 239, 1564 (1964).
- ⁶ K. Weinbren, Gastroenterology 37, 657 (1959).
- ⁷ G. A. Braun, J. B. Marsh and D. L. Drabkin, Metabolism 11, 957 (1962).
- ⁸ D. M. Hays, Y. Sera, Y. Koga and E. F. Hays, Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med. 148, 596 (1975).
- ⁹ E. F. HAYS, F. C. FIRKIN, Y. KOGA and D. M. HAYS, J. Cell Physiol. 86, 213 (1975).

Acceleration of muscle regeneration by bone marrow cells1

R. Yarom and Y. Havi vi

Department of Pathology, Hebrew University, Hadassah Medical School, P. O. B. 1172, Jerusalem (Israel), 21 July 1976

Summary. Myogenesis was accelerated by addition of bone marrow cells to muscle minces cultured in diffusion chambers. This effect was inhibited by using gold suppressed bone Marrow cells.

It is generally agreed that muscle regeneration after injury is brought about by activated satellite cells and cleaved mononuclei (surrounded by a sarcoplasmic rim), which proliferate, fuse and synthesize myofilaments^{2,3}. However, distally arising cells have not been excluded as myoblast precursors, and the present work was undertaken to test such a possibility.

The experiments were done on muscle minces cultured in diffusion chambers either alone or mixed with bone marrow cells. The method is nearer to in vivo conditions and is less technically demanding than conventional tissue culturing ^{4–7}. The chambers (capacity of 0.13 ml) were made of Millipore filters (0.22 µm and 0.45 µm pore

size) cemented to both sides of a lucite ring (13 mm in diameter), with an access hole on the side. 2 chambers were implanted into each peritoneal cavity of young

- 1 Acknowledgment. We thank Dr Z, Ben-Ishay and Mrs S. Sharon for the help with the preparation of the chambers and the bone marrow suspensions.
- 2 I. G. Burleigh, Biol. Rev. 49, 267 (1974).
- 3 B. M. Carlson, Am. J. Anat. 137, 119 (1973).
- 4 Z. Ben-Ishay, Scand. J. Haematol. 15, 241 (1975).
- 5 K. O'Steen, Lab. Invest. 11, 412 (1962).
- 6 D. J. P. Squires, Br. J. Haematol. 29, 89 (1975).
- 7 B. Vernon-Roberts, J. D. Jessop and J. Doré, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 32, 301 (1973).